UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Luciano Guzman-Patron	Case Number	: <u>11-6580M</u>	
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § is represented by counsel. I conclude defendant pending trial in this case	by a preponderance of the	g was held on November 28, 2011. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	e United States or lawfully a	dmitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the ch	t, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant co	e defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of	years imprisonment.	
The Co at the time of th	ourt incorporates by reference the mane hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con	endant will flee.	re the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections fac appeal. The de of the United St	fendant is committed to the custody cility separate, to the extent practical fendant shall be afforded a reasonable tes or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	of the Attorney General or hole, from persons awaiting or le opportunity for private couthe Government, the persor	is/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending a sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nnection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS Ol deliver a copy o Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of th	is detention order be filed w	rith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS FU Services sufficient	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release ently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be consore the District Court to allow	sidered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria w Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE	ED this 29 th day of Novembe	r, 2011.		
		Jour De la Company de la Compa		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge